

FOR MYSORE STATE

(195⁵) Department.

Ms.

SERIES.

G.O. No. 3983

Dated

5th October 1953.

close
certified that this
has been entered as
back paper in the file
of files
S. Upadhyaya
10/10/53

[Despatch Abstract.]

Irrigation - South Kanara District - Kasargod taluk - Dam across
Shiriyar river - Supply of water to the old existing channel - Papers recorded.


From

58030

M.S. Mogral B.A., M.L.A.

Mogral,

Kumbla, S.Kanara.



To

The Honourable Minister for Public Works,

Fort St. George,

M A D R A S.

Sir,

Please take action

I have to bring the following facts for your kind consideration and immediate orders:-

That the Government have constructed a dam at Nanangal in Badur village across the river Sheriya in Kasaragod Taluk, South Kanara District for providing water for irrigation purposes. A channel to the east of the said dam on its southern bank was also constructed to carry the water to the fields.

To the west of the dam there is a mamool water channel or Thodu running to the North and irrigating more than 60 acres of land on the said Northern side of the river. These Thodu was existing from time immemorial and has been also held by the Madras High Court as mamool. After the construction of the dam at Nanangal called Sheriya dam, the flow of water through this mamool Thodu has completely stopped with the result that the said 60 acres of land which in the previous years yeilding bumper crops, have become dried up and devastated causing great hardship and loss to the agriculturists. My information is that the water could have been allowed through the mamool channel (Thodu) without prejudice to the newly constructed channels, but the Engineering Staff in charge of the dam could not see the way to honour the mamool rights. This denial of mamool water has caused great discontentment in the village.

I therefore suggest that immediate action may kindly be taken to allow the usual flow of water through the mamool Thodu (channel) to irrigate the paddy fields as usual.

Yours faithfully
[Signature]

*C.S. for report
15/7/52*

*1. W-
L.A.R.
15.7.*

2/11

2

18092
P.W.D. (J)

Special

Memo. no 58030 J/52-1 d/ 15.7.52

Sub: Irrigation - S. Kanara - Kasargod taluk -
Dam across Sheriya river - Complaint against.

P1 ante Regd From Mr. S. Moghal, B.A., M.L.A.
letter of 15.7.52 to M. (P.W.)

vide statement
of M. (P.W.) &
Sany's remarks
on P1 ante

A copy of the ^{letter} ~~letter~~ cited is forwarded
to the C.E. (9.) ^{for urgent examination and}
~~and he is requested to submit a very urgent~~
~~report in the matter.~~

Rel 15/7/52
15.7.52

15.7.52

To
The C.E. (9.)
with copy of letter (b1)

Special

Memo. no 58030 J/52-2 dt 6-8-52

Sub: Irrigation - S. Kanara Kasargod Taluk -
Dam across Shiraya river
Complaint against

i) 2-1


Ref: Govt Memo. no 58030 J/52-1 dt 15-7-52

The attention of the C-E(91)
is invited to the reference cited and he
is requested to expedite submission of his
report or to say when it may be expected.

the C-E(91) did
6/8


rel
6/8/52
Jue
6-8-52

MA
6-8-52




From

M. S. Mogral, B.A., M.L.A.,
P.O. Mogral (Via) Kumbala, (South Kanara).



To


The Hon'ble Minister for Public Works,
Government of Madras,
Fort St. George, Madras.



The Government has constructed a dam at Nanangal in Badur Village across the river Shiria in Kasargod Taluk. To the west of the dam is a mamool water channel running to the north and irrigating more than 60 acres of land on the said northern side of the river. The Engineering Staff in charge of the dam deprived these lands of their mamool supply of water in spite of their being sufficient surplus of water which was allowed to run to waste. This denial of mamool water has caused great and widespread discontent among the villagers. I had presented a memorial in this connection to you in person and you had assured me prompt action for the redress of the grievance. As suitable action has not yet been taken I request you to expedite matters and prevent heavy loss to ~~the~~ the landholders which might even occasion unpleasant litigation against the Government. The grievance could be easily redressed by a simple and effective device. The level of the water in the main channel could be raised by putting up an embankment at its mouth about 1 yard high and necessary water diverted into the mamool water channel so as to ensure a proper supply of water for the entire area to be irrigated on both sides of the river without loss or inconvenience to anybody. I earnestly request you to take up the matter immediately and do the needful without any further delay.

Yours faithfully,

Mogral,)
9-8-1952.)


9/8/52

P1 anti

pp 7-8
w/du i 78

4-38859

P.W.D (J-1)

Encl. no 58030 J 52-3774/22-8-n

Copy Forwarded to the C. E. (9)

20107 92011 VIT VI in continuation of Memo no 58030 J/S2-1

2-ante of 15.752 for report.

228. 228

McC. E. (9/11/15)

7. 5 anni

Aug 1891

From Sri -
T.S.Venkatarama-Iyer, B.E., I.S.E.,
Chief Engineer for Grow More Food.
Chepauk, Madras. 5.

The Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department,
M a d r a s.

No. 5321/52M-2 dated 13th August 1952.

Sir,

Sub: Irrigation - South Kanara District - Kasargod taluk -
Dam across Shiriya river - complaint against.

Ref: Govt. P.W.D. Memo No. 58030 J/52-2 dated 6/8/52.

I have called for a report from the Superintending Engineer, Coimbatore Circle and the same is awaited. I am now reminding him to expedite the same. On receipt of a reply from the Superintending Engineer I shall send my report to Government.

sr/14/8

For Chief Engineer (Grow More Food)

P.W.D. (J-1)

A R. WM 30-9-52

kl
30/8/52

30/8/52

15/12

8
2

20/11/10

P.W.D. (5-1)

T.M. NO 58038 J | 52-4-2 | 10.10.12

From the A.S. (P.W.D.)

To the CE (1)

petal
15/10

Sub: Irrigation - S. Kanara Dt - Kasargod Taluk.
Dam across Ghirya River - Complaint
against

Ref
7/10/12

your Ref no 5321 | 52-2-2 | 13.8.12

Please expedite the submission
of your reply or say when it may be expected

rel
5/10/12

P.C. to go.

10/11/12

61
15.11

9

P.W.D.(J-1)

T.N. no 58030 J/52-5 dy 13.11.52

From the A.S. (P.W.D.)

To the C.E. (1)

Subj Irrigation - S. Kanara - Kasargod taluk - Dam
across Shirya river - Complaint against

for C.A.

Ref P.C. of Govt. T.N. no 58030 J/52-4 dy 10.10.52

Please expedite the submission of your
report or say when it may be expected

P.C. to go
12/11/52

12/11/52

12/11/52

12.4.12

11

From Sri
T.S.Venkatarama Ayyar, B.E., I.S.E.,
Chief Engineer for Grow More Food,
Chepauk, Madras.

To
The Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department,
Fort St. George, Madras.

No.5821/52 M-7 dated 11-11-1952.

Sir,



Sub:-Irrigation - South Kanara - Kasagod Taluk - Dam
across Shiriya River - Complaint against - Regarding

Ref:-Government (P.W.D.) Memo. No.58030 J/52-1 d/15-7-'52.
Government (P.W.D.) Endt. No.58030 J/52-3 d/22-8-'52.

With reference to the Government references cited, I forward

herewith a copy of Endt.No.111/52 D-11 dated 25-9-52 received from
the Superintending Engineer, Coimbatore circle together with its
enclosures on the above subject.

L. Sundaram
18/11/52
For Chief Engineer (Grow More Food)

Encl: Copy of Superintending Engineer's letter.
Copy of Collector's (South Kanara District) Proceedings.
Copy of para 3 of Superintending Engineer's reference.

tss.14/11.

13

Copy of Endt.No.111/52 D-11 dated 25-9-1952 from the Superintending Engineer, Coimbatore Circle to the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

Read: Copy of letter No.1775 SE dated 28-8-52 of Sri M.Venkataraman, Executive Engineer, South Kanara Division, Mangalore to the Superintending Engineer, Coimbatore Circle, Coimbatore.

Sub:-Irrigation - South Kanara - Kasargod Taluk - Dam across Shiriya River - Complaint against - report submission of. .

Ref:-Your No.111/52 D-11 dated 9-8-1952. .

The subject matter of the petition has been already considered by the Collector of South Kanara in his Proceedings D.Dis.No.20358/48 Dated 9-6-50 and referred to by the Superintending Engineer in para 3 of letter No.2022/I 615.Z.6.DB dated 30-10-50 to the Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

Unless and until documentary evidence in support of the mamool rights is produced to the Collector and his views obtained recogniser the mamool rights, no redress of the alleged great discontentment caused can be effected by this department.

Copy submitted to the Chief Engineer for Irrigation, Madras with reference to his Endt.No.5321/52 M-1 dated 24-7-52 for favour of information.

The Collector's proceedings referred to by the Executive Engineer was submitted to the Chief Engineer for Irrigation in this office No.2022.I dated 30-10-50.

Sd/-
S.E., Coimbatore Circle. .

// True Copy //

Copy of proceedings D.Dis.20358/48 dated 9-6-50 from the Collector of South Kanara.

Irrigation - Kasaragod taluk - Angadimogaru village - Shiriya river - Priority right over waters - orders passed.

- Ref:-1. Petition dated 24-9-1948 from Janab A.K.Sherule, Land holder, Angadimogaru, Kasaragod taluk.
2. Petition dated 9-8-49 from Sri Muthakke, wife of B.R.Punja residing at Kumbla.
3. From the Government Pleader, Mangalore, No.509-50, dated 14-4-50 and the records of enquiry.

The above petitions claim "mamool" right over the waters of Shiriya river across which a dam is being constructed at "Nonagal" in Badur village and accordingly request that necessary provision may be made for the usual flow of water to their fields.

The first petitioner has not produced complete documentary evidence in support of his claim. He refers to a High Court Judgment in his petition dated 14-2-50, but has failed to produce it. The second petitioner has not produced any document at all. In the absence of proof of the right claimed by the petitioners the Collector regrets that their claims cannot be admitted.

// True Copy //

15

Copy of letter No.2022 I/615.Z.6., DE dated 30-10-50 from the
Superintending Engineer, Coimbatore Circle to the Chief Engineer
for Irrigation, Chepauk, Madras.

Sub:--Shiriyanaicut scheme - forming a right side branch channel,
submitted proposals.

Ref:--Your Memo No.9522/48 M-17 dated 13-10-50.

**

**

**

A. 3 miles

3. The owners of the above lands claimed priority right for
the supply to their channel. But the Collector of South Kanara in
his proceedings D.Dis.No.20358/48, dated 9-6-50 has not admitted their
claim. However, if supply is given from the main channel to this exist-
ing channel by constructing a syphon across the river at this site,
this ayacut of 50 acres which will be benefitted can be counted against
the 64 acres originally contemplated in the scheme. Moreover these
ayacutdars who also possess lands lower down are said to be willing
to extend the channel at their cost and increase the ayacut further.
Thus there is a likelihood of the full extent proposed in the esti-
mate being development on the right side of the river, if not more.

**

**

// True Copy //

tss.14/11.

MT. Taluk
Senior Superintendent
14/11

P.W.D. (J.1.).

Memo. 58030 J/52-8 dt 11.2.53.

IRRIGATION--South Kanara^{or}--Kasargod taluk--Dam
across the Shiriyar river--Supply to the old channel.

Ref:-Letter from the C.E.(I) No.5321/52.M.7.
dated 11-11-1952.

It is observed from para VII of the report accompanying the estimated for the Shiriyar anicut scheme submitted by the C.E.(I) with his letter No.1243/44. B.32. dated 1-8-1947 and also from the Collector's report in his letter No.D.Dis. 10966/47 dated 27-9-1947 that the Shiriyar anicut scheme contemplated supply of water to 65 acres of double crop land under the old existing channel. The C.E.(I) is therefore requested to report urgently in consultation with the Collector of South Kanara why this supply should not be ^{allowed} ~~made~~ now irrespective of any mamool rights claimed by the ayacutdars for P.T.O.

75
14/2.
he
T412
SHS.

p 11 ef

'M' on p 234 (R)

p 17 ef (R)

'P' on p 35 cf (R)

H

- 2 -

which, it is stated, they are not able to produce any records as required by the Collector.

81
29/1/53
36/1/53

11--
9.2.53

C' on p 13 r f

To the C.E.(I). ✓

Copy to the Collector of
South Kanara
Mangalore. ✓
17/2 ✓

66
13/3
11/2

Memo. no 58030 J/52-9 d 12.3.53

Sub: Irrigation- S. Kanara Dt- Kasargod taluk-
Dam across the Shiriyar river - supply to the
old channel.

17-18.3

Ref: Govt. Memo. no 58030 J/52-8 d 11.2.53.

The attention of the C.E(I) is invited to
the reference cited and he is requested to
expedite the submission of his report.

18.3.53

the C.E. (9) II.

11/3/53

11.3.53

Sdho 16

M
19) 35
21.4

Memo No 58030 J/S²-10 d 18.4.1953
Sub: Irrigation - S. Kauara Di - Kanargad
lāhuk - Dam across the Shuriga river -
Supply to the old channel -

149 c. Ref: Memo No 58030 J/S²-9 d 12.3.53

The CE (S) is requested to
submit his report expeditiously.

To Do
The CE (S) is
ad. 80
24.4.53

10/4/53
10/4/53

12/4/53

G.P. 82-1457,656-21-5-53,

Public Works Department
From (Name) Sri L. Venkatakrishna Ayyar,
B.A., B.E., I.S.E.,
Designation Chief Engineer (Irrigation-I)
Station Chepauk, Madras 5.

Received _____ Registered _____
To
The Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department,
Fort St. George, Madras.



No. 1008/53 M- 2 dated 14th April 1953.

Sub:- Irrigation - South Kanara District - Kasargod Taluk -
Dam across Shiriyar river - Supply to the old Channel
Ref:-Govt.P.W.D.Memo No.58030 J/52-9 dated 12-3-1953.

p 19 aut

I have called for a report from the Superintending Engineer, Coimbatore Circle and his reply is awaited. I am now reminding him to expedite his reply. On receipt of the Superintending Engineer's reply I shall send my report in the matter.

Gundam
6614153
for Chief Engineer (Irrigation-I)

TSR.14.4

PLD
J1
24/4/53
25/4/53
26/4/53

27/4/53

D.Dis.C.No 4112/53

S.Kanara Collector's office,
Mangalore dated 25-5-1953

From

Sri A. ⁴⁹²⁰⁴ Lingam Chettiar, B.A.,
Collector of South Kanara,
Mangalore.

To

The Secretary to the Government,
P.W.D. Department, Fort St. George,
M A D R A S .9

Sir, -

IRRIGATION (South Kanara) Kasaragod Taluk - Dam across
the Shiriya River - supply to the old channel Report
submitted

Ref: Memo.No. 58030/5/52.8 dated 11.2.1953 from the
P.W. Department.

The extent of 65 acres (or 64.96 acres) referred to
in Collector's D.Dis 10966/47 dated 27.9.47 represented only
the extent of I class double crop lands included in the old
ayacut. Even this extent was distributed on either sides of
the Anicut namely 35.12 acres on the left side and 29.84
acres on the right side. With the construction of the new
channel on the left side at a higher level than the old
channel, even lands which were not being cultivated with II
and III crops are now being cultivated with these crops.

2/- In this connection, I submit that a dispute was
going on between the ayacutdars of the Shiriya Anicut
on its left and right sides about the distribution of anicut
water between them during the third crop season. The cultivators
on the right side claimed that they have the mamul
right for irrigating the third crop and that only after
meeting their requirements the water may be allowed to the
cultivators on the left side. The cultivators on the
left side claimed that they too have mamul right over the
water for the third crop and that the quantity of water
available should be distributed equitably between the two
sides. It appears that the dispute assumed serious proportion
when there was scarcity of water at the anicut. This
dispute was however amicably settled by a compromise among
the ayacutdars before the Tahsildar Kasaragod and the
Assistant Engineer, P.W.D. Executive Sub Division,
Mangalore. According to this compromise, the ayacutdars
are satisfied if water from the anicut is let out to each
either side in proportion to extent of mamul III crop
cultivation viz 50:68. As the Executive Engineer, P.W.D. had
also no objection to the compromise effected and to regulate
water in the above proportion he was requested to take
action accordingly. Even in regard to 65 acres, proportionate
distribution of water will be necessary on either side as
the extent of 65 acres is distributed on the left and right
sides of the anicut. As the parties have now agreed to the
proportionate distribution of water suggested as above, no
further action is necessary in this case.

Yours faithfully

For Collector.

Copy to the Chief Engineer (Irrigation) Chepauk, Madras.5
Copy to the Executive Engineer, P.W.D. for information with
reference to his No. 414. Rev. dated 22.4.53.
Copy to the R.D.C. Puttur and Tahsildar Kasaragod.
A.V. 26.C.

This may be
till C.E.'s report
is received.

10/5/53

12/5/53

24

58-3-15

P.W.D. (J)

Re. on the Collector's letter on p. 23 anti. A copy
of it has been sent to the C.E. (D). The C.E.'s report
may be awaited till 15-6-53.

69
34/575

16.9

11/28/6

P.W.D (J) 25

Memo No. 58030 J/52-II d. 22-6-53

12/23/6

Subj: Irrigation - S. Kanara Dt. - Kasargad taluk - Dam across Shiriyar river - Supply to the old channel.

Ref: 1) From the C.E (I) II, Lr. No. 1008/53 M.2 d. 14.4.53

2) From the C.E. of S. Kanara, D. Dis, 4112/53 d. 25.5.53 to the Secy to Govt, P.W.D, Madras, copy to the C.E (I).

p21cf

p23cf

The C.E (I) II is requested to expedite his report

To the CE (I) II

Es
19.6.53
29/6/53

27/6/53

27

J.F. 32 (a)-184,468-15-11-52.

PUBLIC WORKS Department

Received _____ Registered _____

From (Name) Sri N. Padmanabha Ayyar,

To

Designation B.A., B.E., M.I.E. (Ind) I.S.E.,

The Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department,
Fort St. George, Madras.

Position Chief Engineer, Irrigation-II

Chepauk, Madras-5.

Enclosures:

6 - JUN 1953

No. 1008//58

M-6

, dated 1-7-53, 195

SIR,

Sub: Irrigation-S.K. District-Kasargod Taluk-Dam across
Shiriyar River-Supply to old channel-
Ref: Govt. Memo No. 58030 J/52-11 dt. 22-6-53.

p25 ant

I am still awaiting the Superintending Engineer's
report, on receipt of which I shall send my reply to Government.

For Chief Engineer, Irrigation-II

SVK/2/7/-

P.W.D (JI)

A.R. Kill 12.8.53

10.7.53

10/7/53

11/7/53

28

75/26-8

g.k

P.W.D(JI)

Memo No. 58030 J / 52-12 d. 25-8-53

Sub: As on p 27 ante

Ref: from the C.E (I) II, Lr. No. 1008/53-M-6 d. 1-7-53 p 27 ante

The C.E. (I) II is requested to expedite the submission of his report.

20
11-8-53

29.8.53

28
12-8-53

20/8/53
The C.E (I) II

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.



From Sri
N. Padmanabha Ayyar, B.A., B.E.,
M.I.E. (Ind) I.S.E.,
Chief Engineer (Irrigation - II),

To
The Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department,
M A D R A S.

Chepauk, M A D R A S.

Letter No 1008/53-M-7 Dated 25/8/1953.

Sir,

77697

Sub: Irrigation - South Kanara District - Kasaragod
taluk - Dam across the Shirya River - supply
to the old channel - Regarding.

Ref: 1. Government Memo No 58030 J/52-8 D/ 11-2-1953
2. -do- No 58030 J/52-11 D/ 22-6-1953.
3. Chief Engineer for Irrigation's letter
No. 1008/53-M-6 dated 1/7/1953.

The Superintending Engineer has reported
that he agrees with the views of the Collector expressed
in his letter to Government No. D.Dis. C.No. 4112/53
dated 25/5/1953. Chief Engineer for Irrigation has no
remarks to offer.

L. Sundaram
26/8/53

For Chief Engineer (Irrigation - II).

N.C.P.25/8

Wm
26/8.

Sub:-Irrigation--South Kanara Dt.--Kasargod taluk-Dam across Shiriyar river--Complaint against.

Ref:-From Sri M.S.Mogral, B.A., M.L.A., petitions dated 15-7-1952 and 9-8-52.
Govt. Memo.No. 58030 J/52-1 dated 15-7-1952.
Govt. Endt. No.58030 J/52-3 dated 22-8-52.
From the C.E.(I), Ref.No.5321/52.M.7. d/11-11-52.

"S.P.W.

please take immediate action."

N.R.R.

15-7-52.

In his petition submitted to M (P.W.), Sri M.S. Mogral, M.L.A., has represented that with the construction of the dam at Nanangal across Shiriyar river in Kasargod taluk, South Kanara, the flow of water through old channel running northwards from the west of the anicut has been completely stopped and an area of 60 acres of land has been dried up. He has stated that this channel has been in existence from time immemorial and the High Court had held that it has a mamool right. He has requested that immediate action might be taken to restore the flow of the water through that channel.

2. The C.E.(I) has forwarded a copy of the S.E.'s report in the matter. The S.E. has reported that the Collector had already examined the matter in 1950 on representations received, but that the petitioners were not able to produce the High Court judgement or any other records in support of their contention. The Collector did not therefore admit the claims. The S.E. has stated that unless documentary evidence is produced to substantiate the claims of the petitioners, no action can be taken in the matter.

3. It is submitted that the Shiriyar Anicut scheme was sanctioned by the Govt. in G.O.No.410 P.W. dated 7-2-1948. It was then reported that the scheme would benefit 548.62 acres including 65 acres of double crop land under the existing

f 1 m d h 5 of
b 2 of
b 6 of
b 11-15 of
p 1 of

f 1 m d h 5 of

p 11-15 of

B'omp 13 of
C'omp 13 of

A'omp 13 of
B'omp 13 of

f 1 m d h 5 of
p 1 of

channel with an open head lying at a lower contour.

It appears that the present representation is for supply to the above channel for the benefit of the existing lands under it. It is also observed that the S.E. reported in October 1950 that if supply was given from the main channel to this existing channel by constructing a syphon across the river the ayacut of 50 acres which will be benefited can be counted against the 64 acres originally contemplated in the ~~xx~~ scheme. He also stated ^{that} these ayacutdars were willing to extend the channel at their cost and increase the ayacut further and ^{that} there ~~was~~ a likelihood of the full extent proposed in the estimate being developed on the right side of the river, if not more.

4. It is clear from the above that the scheme contemplated supply of water to the existing channel referred to by the petitioner and it is not clear why supply should not be allowed to it now irrespective of the mamool rights claimed by the petitioner and other ayacutdars. It does not seem that supply is not adequate. In the circumstances the C.E.(I) may be asked to report in consultation with the Collector why the supply should not be made to the old channel for the benefit of the existing double crop lands under it.

5. A draft memo is submitted for approval.

21/1/53
30/1/53

22/1/53

Issued as Memo No. 58030 J/528 d. 11.2.53
(From the collector of S. Kanara, Dis.
C. No. 4112/53 d. 25.5.1953)
(From the Ch. Engineer (S) II, Lr. No. 1008/53-11-7?
d. 25.8.53)

p p 17-18 c f
p 23 c f
p 29 c f

To A.S.

In his petition submitted to M (P.W.), Sri M.S. Mogral, M.L.A. represented that with the construction of the dam at Nanangal across Shiriyar river in Kasargod taluk, South Kanara District, the flow of water through the old channel running northwards from the west of the anicut (i.e. on the right side) has been completely stopped and crops on an area of 60 acres dried up. He stated that this channel had been in existence from time immemorial and the High Court had held that it had a mamool right. He therefore requested that immediate action might be taken to restore the flow of water through the channel.

2. The C.E.(I) who was referred to forwarded a copy of the S.E.'s report in the matter. The S.E. had reported that the Collector had already examined the matter in 1950 on representations received, but that the petitioners were not able to produce the High Court judgement or any other records in support of their contention and the Collector did not therefore admit the claims. The S.E. had also stated that unless documentary evidence was produced to substantiate the claims of the petitioners, no action could be taken in the matter. It was then pointed out that ^{under} the Shiriyar Anicut scheme sanctioned in G.O.No.410 P.W. dated 7-2-48 supply to 65 acre of double crop land under the existing channel had been contemplated and that the S.E. had reported in October 1950 that if supply was given from the main channel of the Project to the existing channel by constructing a syphon across the river, the ayecut of 50 acres, which will be benefited, can be counted against the 64 acres originally contemplated under the scheme. With reference to this, the C.E.(I) was asked to report in consultation with the

pp. 1 and 5 c.F.

pp. 2 c.F.
w/ p 11 c.F.
pp. 12, 15 c.F.

p 15 c.F. and
C on p 13 CF

B on p 13 CF

pp 67-68 of (R)

from 3 on
p 1-2 and
V de also p 15 c.F.

12
p. 1-8 -

18

Collector of South Kanara why supply should not be allowed to the existing canal from the Shiriyar anicut irrespective of any mamool-rights claimed by the ayacutdars.

pp 17 18 c.f.


3. The Collector of South Kanara has now reported that of the 65 acres of existing wet land reported by him as included in the ayacut of the Shiriyar Anicut, 35.12 acres are on the left side and 29.84 acres on the right side. With the completion of the ~~mk~~ Shiriyar Anicut scheme with its main channel on the left side, even lands which were not being cultivated with II and III Crops are now being cultivated with these crops. Both the right side and left side ayacutdars (i.e. under the old and new channels) claimed mamool right for water for their land for III crop and the dispute between the parties assumed a serious turn when there was scarcity of water during the third crop season. The dispute has been settled amicably by the Tahsildar and the Asst. Engineer to the satisfaction of the parties and they have agreed to the distribution of water during III Crop in the proportion to the extent of mamool III crop cultivation viz. 50:68. In view of this, the Collector states that no further action is necessary in this. The S.E. agrees with him and the C.E.(I) has no remarks to offer.

para 1
- 50:68

para 2 on
23 c.f

Mon 23 c.f
29 c.f.


4. In the above circumstances no further action seems called for in this. The papers may be recorded in a memo. Revenue may see before circulation.



21/9/53
to AS

21.9.53

Rev. Dept



58030J.82.13
22.9.53

The notes of PW from p3 ante may be perused and the file may be returned to PW.

26/9/53 PW

Rev. u.o. 79833H/53-1
26/9/53

T. A.S.

P.W.D. (J1)

The file relates to the representation of Sri M.S. Mogral, M.L.A., against the stoppage of supply of water to the old existing channel on the right side of the Shiriyar river after the completion of the Shiriyar Anicut Scheme in South Kanara District. The case is explained fully in the notes on pp.3-4 ante. The Collector of South Kanara has reported that the question of supply to the right side channel and the left side channel has since been settled amicably to the satisfaction of the ryots on both sides. No further action in this is therefore considered necessary and it is proposed to record the papers in a memo. Revenue Department have seen the case.

The file may be circulated to M.(P.W.) with reference to his minutes on p. 1 c.f.

[Signature]
28/9/53

[Signature]
28/9/53

[Signature]
28/9/53

62
5/10

MS No. 3283 d/5-10-53
Sri M.S. Kanara M.L.A. Kerasgod
taluk - dam across Shiriyar
river. Supply of water to
the old existing channel
Papers recorded

